INCREASING INTEREST

The multifamily sector continues to strengthen, with demand returning to gateway cities as newly popular destinations continue to attract renters.

CREATIVE REVITALIZATION

An office-to-multifamily project shows how adaptive reuse can offer new life to properties once thought past their prime.

MOB MENTALITY

Medical office buildings are interesting investment opportunities with some unique considerations.

SECURE HIGH LOAN PROCEEDS AND NONRECOURSE TERMS

Eastern Mortgage Capital offers HUD-insured multifamily loans for construction-to-perm or construction loan take-out financing.



CONTENTS

COMMERCIAL INVESTMENT REAL ESTATE

THE OFFICIAL MAGAZINE OF CCIM INSTITUTE

COVER STORY



Finding the right formula for mixed-use projects can mean the difference between success and failure.

By Beth Mattson-Teig

DEPARTMENTS

PRESIDENT'S DESK Celebrating Diversity	02
INDUSTRY VOICES Hearing From More Voices	04
CIRE PODCAST Southern Hospitality	06
MARKET TRENDS	08
WORLDVIEW Singapore	10
BY THE NUMBERS WITH REIS The Superstar of the Future?	12
INVESTMENT ANALYSIS Understanding Land Value	14
BRIEFINGS Investors Need to Face Distressed Assets	16
CCIM Q&A Optimism in the Lone Star State	18
SITE TO DO BUSINESS CASE STUDY Layers of Understanding	38
CCIM CANDIDATE SPOTLIGHT Joshua Abrams	40
CONTENT SPONSORED BY APPFOLIO CRE INNOVATIONS Smart Solutions in Investment Support	42
DEAL MAKERS	42



INCREASING INTEREST

The multifamily sector continues to strengthen, with demand returning to gateway cities as newly popular destinations continue to attract renters.

By Sarah Hoban



MOB MENTALITY

Medical office buildings are interesting investment opportunities with some unique considerations.

 $By\ Tracy\ Alternus,\ CCIM$



CREATIVE REVITALIZATION

An office-to-multifamily project shows how adaptive reuse can offer new life to properties once thought past their prime.

By Jeffrey A. Gould, CCIM, CPM



SECURE HIGH LOAN PROCEEDS AND NONRECOURSE TERMS

Eastern Mortgage Capital offers HUD-insured multifamily loans for construction-to-perm or construction loan take-out financing. By Peter Tousignant

By Michael J. Rohm, CCIM, MAI

UNDERSTANDING LAND VALUE

Residual land analysis is an ideal way to calculate land value based on building area, cost estimates, and development risk.

esidual land analysis is a method for determining the value of development land and is calculated by subtracting all costs associated with development from the total value of a hypothetically complete development, including profit but excluding the cost of the land. The amount left over is the residual land value — or the amount the developer can pay for the land given the assumed value of the "as complete" development, the assumed project costs, and the developer's desired profit.

In its simplest form, residual land valuation follows this formula:

"As Complete" Value

- Cost of Development
Land Value

In other words, the land residual analysis answers the question: "What can I pay for land in order to maintain project feasibility?"

Although our attention is primarily on commercial real estate, the most understandable application of the land residual formula is with residential lots in a tract subdivision, because of the relatively small variations in the completed home values. This consistency translates into more credible "as complete" value estimates. The residual land valuation will always begin with the "as complete" value of a proposed development alternative. For instance, in the case of a tract development, a custom homebuilder will offer a buyer seemingly endless upgrades. Not all upgrades will be financially feasible. Some will be, but most will not, as the upgrades - and more importantly the combination of the upgrades - will not perfectly reflect typical market desires. These upgrades often reflect personal tastes and wants, which are rarely consistent with the typical market participant and may not always optimize the property's resale value.

Upgrades and alternatives for custom homes are not always limited to interior modifications; they can include differences in size and exterior building materials. For our discussion, we will focus on interior alternatives to show the concept of a residual land analysis. The table (on pg. 15) shows a land residual analysis based on three development alternatives.

Alternative 1: This option is a 2,500-sf single-family home with four bedrooms and three bathrooms with carpet throughout (with the exception of the kitchen and bathrooms). This home costs \$550,275 to develop and will be worth \$600,000 when complete. The analysis translates into a residual land value of \$49,725 (\$600,000 - \$550,275) for an approvable raw lot.

Alternative 2: This option is the same as Alternative 1, only with hardwood floors throughout (except for the kitchen and bathrooms). This home costs \$569,250 to develop and will be worth \$625,000 when complete. The analysis translates into a residual land value of \$55,750 (\$625,000 - \$569,250) for an approvable raw lot.

Alternative 3: This option is a 2,500-sf single-family home with four bedrooms and 2.5 bathrooms with hardwood floors throughout (except for the kitchen and bathrooms). This home costs \$531,300 to develop and will be worth \$575,000 when complete. The analysis translates into a residual land value

of \$43,700 (\$575,000 - \$531,300) for an approvable raw lot.

As is inferred via the analysis, hardwood floors cost more than carpet and bathrooms cost more than common areas. Bathrooms and hardwood floors also contribute more value to the property, all else being equal.

Based on the residual land analysis, a four-bedroom, three-bathroom home with hardwood floors throughout (Alternative 2) is the highest and best use for the vacant site because it produces the highest residual land value. Put another way, this is the development alternative that returns the most value to the land.

One weakness in the land residual approach is the sensitivity of the analysis. This approach is limited as the value and costs are dynamic, which will be reflected over the course of the development rather than at a single point. For example, rising labor and material costs along with unforeseen expenses associated with site infrastructure could increase the final development costs, which in turn negatively impact the residual land value. This approach to analysis also does not explicitly consider holding costs and time value of money, so it is important to consider recent land sales to support the conclusion.

The weakness in relying exclusively on comparable land sales is that buyers do not always make land purchase decisions based on the real estate-related financial feasibility of proposed improvements — especially proposed build-to-suit improvements for owner-occupants or partial owner-occupants. From a commercial development perspective, owner-user

Analysis of Development Alternatives for a Single-Family Home

	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Site Acres	0.5	0.5	0.5
Beds/Baths	4 beds/3 baths	4 beds/3 baths	4 beds/2.5 baths
Special Features	Carpet	Hardwood	Hardwood
Estimated Approvable Building sf	2,500	2,500	2,500
1. Value of Brand New Construction*	\$600,000	\$625,000	\$575,000
Hard Cost (per sf)	\$145	\$150	\$140
Hard Cost (\$ per sf x building sf)	\$362,500	\$375,000	\$350,000
Soft Cost (10% of Hard Cost)	36,250	\$37,500	\$35,000
Incentive (20% of Hard and Soft Costs)	\$79,750	\$82,500	\$77,000
Replacement Cost, New (RCN)	\$478,500	\$495,000	\$462,000
Site Improvements (10% of RCN)**	\$47,850	\$49,500	\$46,200
Site Infrastructure (5% of RCN)	\$23,925	\$24,750	\$23,100
2. Total Construction Costs	\$550,275	\$569,250	\$531,300
Residual Raw Land Value (1 - 2)	\$49,725	\$55,750	\$43,700
Residual Raw Land Value (\$ per sf)	\$19.89	\$22.30	\$17.48
Residual Raw Land Value (\$ per acre)	\$99,450	\$111,500	\$87,400

^{*}Estimated costs **Site infrastructure refers to physical site improvements to the land that are not depreciable (like grading, storm basins, and utility extension), while site improvements refer to depreciable components (like sidewalks, driveways, and landscaping).

decisions are often based on enhancements to business/brand value as a result of relocating, renovating, or ground-up developing in a visible or accessible location. These development decisions rarely result in real estate financial feasibility due to the location and development criteria being specific to each user. In other words, the project may be financially feasible, holistically, but it is not financially feasible from the real estate value perspective alone. This is a concept known as "transferred value," which contends that a development may be financially feasible if one considers the enhancement to business/brand value as well (i.e. not all of the project value is derived from real estate). In this way, owner-users who develop land may employ a land residual analysis based on a combination of 1) the value of the real estate when complete, and 2) the increase in business value from having a better location and newer buildings to attract clients, thus resulting in a willingness to pay an amount for land that rarely pencils when viewed strictly from a real estate financial feasibility perspective.

Another weakness in utilizing the land sales comparison approach exclusively is that without a site survey or engineer analysis of development potential based on zoning and setback requirements, the differences in development density between sites may significantly deviate, making any comparison less credible. In short, the residual analysis is an ideal analysis if the approvable building area, estimated cost to construct, and development risk are credibly input.

Michael J. Rohm, CCIM, MAI $Founder\ of\ Commonwealth\ Commercial$ Appraisal Group & Senior Associate at Landmark Commercial Realty Contact him at mrohm@landmarker.com.

WHERE POWER MEETS PERFORMANCE



Forging a new path in commercial real estate takes vision, grit and the ability to see opportunity before others. Coldwell Banker Commercial® has earned that reputation for over a century and is now proud to introduce a fresh new look, updated for today's modern landscape.

- The most recognized commercial real estate brand among real estate decision makers*
- Ranked highest in positive brand perception by both Coldwell Banker® Commercial and non-Coldwell Banker Commercial brokers alike*
- 9 out of 10 commercial real estate decision makers rate us excellent or good*

Your path to success is our commitment. Visit **CBCWORLDWIDE.COM** for more information.



*According to a 2020 survey by Coldwell Banker Commercial. ©2021 Coldwell Banker, All Rights Reserved. Coldwell Banker Commercial® and the Coldwell Banker Commercial logos are trademarks of Coldwell Banker Real Estate LLC. The Coldwell Banker® System is comprised of company owned offices which are woned by a substitutionary of Realogy Brokerage Group LLC and tranchised offices which are independently owned and operated. The Coldwell Banker System fully supports the Equal Opportunity ALC 11RZW_HAT_1/22